Quality ID #354: Anastomotic Leak Intervention
   – National Quality Strategy Domain: Patient Safety
   – Meaningful Measure Area: Preventable Healthcare Harm

2020 COLLECTION TYPE:
MIPS CLINICAL QUALITY MEASURES (CQMS)

MEASURE TYPE:
Outcome – High Priority

DESCRIPTION:
Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older who required an anastomotic leak intervention following gastric bypass or colectomy surgery

INSTRUCTIONS:
This measure is to be submitted each time a procedure for gastric bypass or colectomy surgery is performed during the performance period. There is no diagnosis associated with this measure. This measure may be submitted by Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) eligible clinicians who perform the quality actions described in the measure based on the services provided and the measure-specific denominator coding.

NOTE: Include only patients that have procedures through November 30th of the performance period. This will allow the evaluation of at least 30 days after the gastric bypass or colectomy surgery within the performance period.

Measure Submission Type:
Measure data may be submitted by individual MIPS eligible clinicians, groups, or third party intermediaries. The listed denominator criteria are used to identify the intended patient population. The numerator options included in this specification are used to submit the quality actions as allowed by the measure. The quality-data codes listed do not need to be submitted by MIPS eligible clinicians, groups, or third party intermediaries that utilize this modality for submissions; however, these codes may be submitted for those third party intermediaries that utilize Medicare Part B claims data. For more information regarding Application Programming Interface (API), please refer to the Quality Payment Program (QPP) website.

DENOMINATOR:
Patients aged 18 years and older undergoing gastric bypass or colectomy surgery

Denominator Criteria (Eligible Cases):
All patients aged 18 years and older
AND
Patient procedure during the performance period (CPT): 43644, 43645, 43775, 43845, 43846, 43847, 43848, 43850, 43860, 43865, 44140, 44141, 44143, 44144, 44145, 44146, 44147, 44150, 44151, 44155, 44156, 44157, 44158, 44160, 44204, 44205, 44206, 44207, 44208, 44210, 44211, 44212, 44626

NUMERATOR:
Intervention (via return to operating room, interventional radiology, or interventional gastroenterology) for presence of leak of endoluminal contents (such as air, fluid, GI contents, or contrast material) through an anastomosis. The presence of an infection/abscess thought to be related to an anastomosis, even if the leak cannot be definitively identified as visualized during an operation, or by contrast extravasation would also be considered an anastomotic leak

Numerator Instructions:
INVERSE MEASURE - A lower calculated performance rate for this measure indicates better clinical care or control. The “Performance Not Met” numerator option for this measure is the representation of the better
clinical quality or control. Submitting that numerator option will produce a performance rate that trends closer to 0%, as quality increases. For inverse measures, a rate of 100% means all of the denominator eligible patients did not receive the appropriate care or were not in proper control.

Numerator Options:

Performance Met: Intervention for presence of leak of endoluminal contents through an anastomosis required (G9306)

OR

Performance Not Met: Intervention for presence of leak of endoluminal contents through an anastomosis not required (G9305)

RATIONALE:
This is an adverse surgical outcome, which is often a preventable cause of harm, thus it is important to measure and report. It is feasible to collect the data and produce reliable and valid results about the quality of care. It is useful and understandable to stakeholders. As highlighted earlier, this measure was developed in a collaborative effort by the American College of Surgeons (ACS) and the American Board of Surgery (ABS). This measure addresses the National Quality Strategy’s priorities, and was identified by an expert panel of physician providers to be a critical outcome for this procedure. This measure addresses a high-impact condition as it is one of the most common procedures performed in the U.S. The measure aligns well with the intended use. The care settings include acute care facilities/hospitals. Data are being collected in a clinical registry that has been in existence for over 10 years, with over 5500 current, active users. Thus, we are requesting consideration of this measure in the MIPS CQM reporting option. The level of analysis is the clinician/individual. All populations are included, except children. The measure allows measurement across the person-centered episode of care out to 30 days after the procedure whether an inpatient, outpatient, or readmitted. The measure addresses disparities in care. The risk adjustment is performed with a parsimonious dataset and aims to allow efficient data collection resources and data reporting. Measures have been harmonized when possible.

CLINICAL RECOMMENDATION STATEMENTS:
A modified-Delphi methodology using an expert panel of surgeons, who are directors of the ABS, identified this to be a critical outcome for this surgical procedure (Surgeon Specific Registry Report on Project for ABS MOC Part IV. Unpublished study by the American College of Surgeons in conjunction with the American Board of Surgery, 2011).
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**SAMPLE CALCULATIONS:**

Data Completeness:

\[
\text{Data Completeness} = \frac{\text{Performance Met} (a=10 \text{ procedures}) + \text{Performance Not Met} (c=60 \text{ procedures})}{\text{Eligible Population} / \text{Denominator} (d=80 \text{ procedures})} = \frac{70 \text{ procedures}}{80 \text{ procedures}} = 87.50\%
\]

Performance Rate:

\[
\text{Performance Rate} = \frac{\text{Performance Met} (a=10 \text{ procedures})}{\text{Data Completeness Numerator} (70 \text{ procedures})} = \frac{10 \text{ procedures}}{70 \text{ procedures}} = 14.29\%
\]

* See the posted measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

**A lower calculated performance rate for this measure indicates better clinical care or control.

NOTE: Submission Frequency: Procedure
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1. Start with Denominator

2. Check Patient Age:
   a. If Patient Age is greater than or equal to 18 Years on Date of Procedure equals No during the measurement period, do not include in Eligible Population. Stop Processing.
   b. If Patient Age is greater than or equal to 18 Years on Date of Procedure equals Yes during the measurement period, proceed to check Procedure Performed.

3. Check Procedure Performed:
   a. If Procedure as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not include in Eligible Population. Stop Processing.
   b. If Procedure as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, include in Eligible Population.

4. Denominator Population:
   a. Denominator Population is all Eligible Procedures in the Denominator. Denominator is represented as Denominator in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter d equals 80 procedures in the Sample Calculation.

5. Start Numerator

6. Check Intervention for Presence of Leak of Endoluminal Contents Through an Anastomosis Required:
   a. If Intervention for Presence of Leak of Endoluminal Contents Through an Anastomosis Required equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Performance Met.
   b. Data Completeness Met and Performance Met letter is represented in the Data Completeness and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter a equals 10 procedures in the Sample Calculation (G9306 or equivalent).
   c. If Intervention for Presence of Leak of Endoluminal Contents Through an Anastomosis Required equals No, proceed to check Intervention for Presence of Leak of Endoluminal Contents Through an Anastomosis Not Required.

7. Check Intervention for Presence of Leak of Endoluminal Contents Through an Anastomosis Not Required:
   a. If Intervention for Presence of Leak of Endoluminal Contents Through an Anastomosis Not Required equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Performance Not Met.
   b. Data Completeness Met and Performance Not Met letter is represented in the Data Completeness in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter c equals 60 procedures in the Sample Calculation (G9305 or equivalent). If Intervention for Presence of Leak of Endoluminal Contents Through an Anastomosis Not Required equals No, proceed to check Data Completeness
Not Met.

8. Check Data Completeness Not Met:

a. If Data Completeness Not Met, the Quality Data Code or equivalent was not submitted. 10 procedures have been subtracted from the Data Completeness Numerator in the Sample Calculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Completeness=</th>
<th>Performance Met (a=10 procedures) + Performance Not Met (c=60 procedures)</th>
<th>= 70 procedures</th>
<th>= 87.50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eligible Population / Denominator (d=80 procedures)</td>
<td>= 80 procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Rate**=</th>
<th>Performance Met (a=10 procedures)</th>
<th>= 10 procedures</th>
<th>= 14.29%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data Completeness Numerator (70 procedures)</td>
<td>= 70 procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>