2020 COLLECTION TYPE:
MIPS CLINICAL QUALITY MEASURES (CQMS)

MEASURE TYPE:
Process – High Priority

DESCRIPTION:
Percentage of patients aged 50 to 75 years of age receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report

INSTRUCTIONS:
This measure is to be submitted a minimum of once per performance period for patients seen during the performance period. Performance for this measure is not limited to the performance period. This measure may be submitted by Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) eligible clinicians who perform the quality actions described in the measure based on services provided and the measure specific denominator coding. Patients who have a coded colonoscopy procedure that has a modifier 52, 53, 73, or 74 will not qualify for inclusion into the measure.

Measure Submission Type:
Measure data may be submitted by individual MIPS eligible clinicians, groups, or third party intermediaries. The listed denominator criteria are used to identify the intended patient population. The numerator options included in this specification are used to submit the quality actions as allowed by the measure. The quality-data codes listed do not need to be submitted by MIPS eligible clinicians, groups, or third party intermediaries that utilize this modality for submissions; however, these codes may be submitted for those third party intermediaries that utilize Medicare Part B claims data. For more information regarding Application Programming Interface (API), please refer to the Quality Payment Program (QPP) website.

DENOMINATOR:
All patients aged 50 to 75 years of age receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy

Denominator Instructions:
MIPS eligible clinicians who indicate that the colonoscopy procedure is incomplete or was discontinued should use the procedure number and the addition (as appropriate) of modifier 52, 53, 73, or 74. Patients who have a coded colonoscopy procedure that has a modifier 52, 53, 73, or 74 will not qualify for inclusion into this measure.

Denominator Criteria (Eligible Cases):
Patients aged 50 to 75 on date of encounter
AND
Patient undergoing screening for malignant neoplasm of colon (ICD-10-CM): Z12.11
AND
Patient procedure during the performance period (CPT or HCPCS): 44388, 45378, G0121
WITHOUT
Modifiers: 52, 53, 73, or 74
NUMERATOR:
Patients who had recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report

Numerator Options

Performance Met: Recommended follow-up interval for repeat colonoscopy of at least 10 years documented in colonoscopy report (0528F)

OR

Denominator Exception: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not recommending at least a 10 year follow-up interval (e.g., inadequate prep, familial or personal history of colonic polyps, patient had no adenoma and age is ≥ 66 years old, or life expectancy < 10 years old, other medical reasons) (0528F with 1P)

OR

Performance Not Met: At least 10 year follow-up interval for colonoscopy not recommended, reason not otherwise specified (0528F with 8P)

RATIONALE:
In the average-risk population, colonoscopy screening is recommended in all current guidelines at 10-year intervals. Inappropriate interval recommendations can result in overuse of resources and can lead to significant patient harm. Performing colonoscopy too often not only increases patients’ exposure to procedural harm, but also drains resources that could be more effectively used to adequately screen those in need (Lieberman et al, 2008). The most common serious complication of colonoscopy is post-polypectomy bleeding (Levin et al, 2008).

Variations in the recommended time interval between colonoscopies exist for patients with normal colonoscopy findings. In a 2006 study of 1282 colonoscopy reports, recommendations were consistent with contemporaneous guidelines in only 39.2% of cases and with current guidelines in 36.7% of cases. Further, the adjusted mean number of years in which repeat colonoscopy was recommended was 7.8 years following normal colonoscopy (Krist et al, 2007)

CLINICAL RECOMMENDATION STATEMENTS:
At present, CSPY (colonoscopy) every 10 years is an acceptable option for CRC screening in average-risk adults beginning at age 50 years. (ACS/USMSTF/ACR, 2008). The USPSTF recommends screening for colorectal cancer using fecal occult blood testing, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy in adults, beginning at age 50 years and continuing until the age of 75 years. The risks and benefits of these screening methods vary (Grade A Recommendation) (USPTF, 2008).

The USPSTF recommends against “routine” screening for colorectal cancer in adults 76 to 85 years of age who have been adequately screened. There may be considerations that support colorectal cancer screening in an individual patient (Grade C Recommendation) (USPSTF, 2008). The preferred CRC prevention test is colonoscopy every 10 years, beginning at age 50. (Grade 1B) (Rex, et al, 2009)

COPYRIGHT:
The Measures are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care, and have not been tested for all potential applications.

The Measures, while copyrighted, can be reproduced and distributed, without modification, for noncommercial purposes, eg, use by health care providers in connection with their practices. Commercial use is defined as the sale, license, or distribution of the Measures for commercial gain, or incorporation of the Measures into a product or service that is sold, licensed or distributed for commercial gain.
Commercial uses of the Measures require a license agreement between the user and the American Medical Association (AMA), [on behalf of the Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® (PCPI®)] or the American Gastroenterological Association (AGA), or American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) or the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG). Neither the AMA, AGA, ASGE, ACG, PCPI, nor its members shall be responsible for any use of the Measures.

The AMA’s, PCPI’s and National Committee for Quality Assurance’s significant past efforts and contributions to the development and updating of the Measures is acknowledged. AGA, ASGE and ACG are solely responsible for the review and enhancement (“Maintenance”) of the Measures as of August 14, 2014.

AGA, ASGE and ACG encourage use of the Measures by other health care professionals, where appropriate.

THE MEASURES AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE PROVIDED “AS IS” WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANYKIND.

© 2019 American Medical Association, American Gastroenterological Association, American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy and American College of Gastroenterology. All Rights Reserved. Applicable FARS/DFARS Restrictions Apply to Government Use. For the Merit-Based Incentive Payment System, American Gastroenterological Association is the primary steward for measure revisions.

Limited proprietary coding is contained in the Measure specifications for convenience. Users of the proprietary code sets should obtain all necessary licenses from the owners of these code sets. The AMA, AGA, ASGE, ACG, the PCPI and its members disclaim all liability for use or accuracy of any Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) or other coding contained in the specifications.

2020 Clinical Quality Measure Flow for Quality ID #320 NQF #0658:
Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Disclaimer: Refer to the measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

SAMPLE CALCULATIONS:

Data Completeness=
Performance Met (a=40 patients) + Denominator Exception (b=10 patients) + Performance Not Met (c=20 patients) = 70 patients = 87.50%
Eligible Population/Denominator (d=80 patients) = 60 patients

Performance Rate=
Performance Met (a=40 patients) = 40 patients = 66.66%
Data Completeness/Numerator (70 patients) – Denominator Exception (b=10 patients) = 50 patients

*See the posted measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

NOTE: Submission Frequency: Patient Process
2020 Clinical Quality Measure Flow Narrative for Quality ID #320 NQF #0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Disclaimer: Refer to the measure specification for specific coding and instructions to submit this measure.

1. Start with Denominator

2. Check Patient Age:
   a. If Patient Aged 50 to 75 Years at Date of Encounter equals No during the measurement period, do not include in Eligible Population. Stop Processing.
   b. If Patient Aged 50 to 75 Years at Date of Encounter equals Yes during the measurement period, proceed to check Patient Undergoing Screening for Malignant Neoplasm of Colon.

3. Check Patient Undergoing Screening for Malignant Neoplasm of Colon:
   a. If Patient Undergoing Screening for Malignant Neoplasm of Colon equals No, do not include in Eligible Population. Stop Processing.
   b. If Patient Undergoing Screening for Malignant Neoplasm of Colon equals Yes, proceed to check Procedure Performed.

4. Check Procedure Performed:
   a. If Procedure as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not include in Eligible Population. Stop Processing.
   b. If Procedure as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, proceed to check Modifiers: 52, 53, 73, or 74.

5. Check Modifiers: 52, 53, 73, or 74:
   a. If Modifiers: 52, 53, 73, or 74 equals No, include in the Eligible Population.
   b. If Modifiers: 52, 53, 73, or 74 equals Yes, do not include in Eligible Population. Stop Processing.

6. Denominator Population:
   a. Denominator Population is all Eligible Patients in the Denominator. Denominator is represented as Denominator in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter d equals 80 patients in the Sample Calculation.

7. Start Numerator

8. Check Recommended Follow-Up Interval for Repeat Colonoscopy of at Least 10 Years and Documented in Colonoscopy Report:
   a. If Recommended Follow-Up Interval for Repeat Colonoscopy of at Least 10 Years and Documented in Colonoscopy Report equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Performance Met.
   b. Data Completeness Met and Performance Met letter is represented in the Data Completeness and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter a equals 40
patients in the Sample Calculation.

c. If Recommended Follow-Up Interval for Repeat Colonoscopy of at Least 10 Years and Documented in Colonoscopy Report equals No, proceed to check Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for not Recommending at Least a 10 Year Follow-up Interval.

9. Check Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for not Recommending at Least a 10 Year Follow-up Interval:

   a. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for not Recommending at Least a 10 Year Follow-up Interval equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Denominator Exception.

   b. Data Completeness Met and Denominator Exception is represented in the Data Completeness and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b equals 10 patients in the Sample Calculation.

   c. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for not Recommending at Least a 10 Year Follow-up Interval equals No, proceed to check At Least 10 Year Follow-Up Interval for Colonoscopy Not Recommended, Reason Not Specified.

10. Check At Least 10 Year Follow-Up Interval for Colonoscopy Not Recommended, Reason Not Specified:

    a. If At Least 10 Year Follow-Up Interval for Colonoscopy Not Recommended, Reason Not Specified equals Yes, include in Data Completeness Met and Performance Not Met.

    b. Data Completeness Met and Performance Not Met is represented in the Data Completeness in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter c equals 20 patients in the Sample Calculation.

    c. If At Least 10 Year Follow-Up Interval for Colonoscopy Not Recommended, Reason Not Specified equals No, proceed to check Data Completeness Not Met.

11. Check Data Completeness Not Met:

    a. If Data Completeness Not Met, the Quality Data Code or equivalent was not submitted. 10 patients have been subtracted from the Data Completeness Numerator in the Sample Calculation.

---

**SAMPLE CALCULATION S:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Completeness:</th>
<th>Performance Met (a=40 patients) - Denominator Exception (b=10 patients) + Performance Met (c=20 patients) = 70 patients = 87.56%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eligible Population / Denominator (d=60 patients) = 80 patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Rate:</th>
<th>Performance Met (d=40 patients) = 40 patients = 66.66%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data Completeness Numerator (70 patients - Denominator Exception (b=10 patients) = 60 patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>